From: [redacted]
Sent: Monday 26 October 2026 10:30
To: MARA Licence
Subject: Submission MUL 260006

Categories: Filed to eDocs

CAUTION: This eMail originated from outside your organisation and the BTS Managed Desktop service. Do not click on any links or open any attachments unless you recognise the sender or are expecting the email and know that the content is safe. If you are in any doubt, please contact the OGCIO IT Service Desk.

To Whom It Concerns:

I reviewed MUL260006 Submission

This is a formal submission by [redacted] o the Maritime Area Regulatory Authority (MARA) **opposing** licence MUL260006 for survey works associated with a proposed wastewater outfall at Cregg, Lahinch Co. Clare.

Main arguments

1.The power and instability of the shoreline
- The author argues that Cregg is a highly dynamic Atlantic coast where storms regularly remove and rebuild large volumes of sand and shingle.
- He contends that the proposed surveys underestimate the significance of storm-driven coastal change.

2.Wildlife concerns
- The submission states that the works are planned during winter, when wintering birds are abundant.
- It questions the assessment of species such as sanderling, ringed plover, and seals in Liscannor Bay.

3.Ancient Drowned Oak Forest
- The author highlights exposed ancient tree remains within the proposed survey area.
- He argues these archaeological or palaeoenvironmental features have not been adequately identified or assessed and could be damaged by intrusive works.

4.Storm-season safety and engineering concerns
- The submission argues that trial pits, probing, and machinery are proposed during the storm season on a high-energy coast.
- It questions whether the surveys can adequately assess the long-term viability of a pipeline crossing such a dynamic shoreline.

5.Alternative solution
- The author claims that a wastewater treatment option at the existing Ennistymon treatment site was previously assessed as the best and lowest-cost option and would avoid the need for the marine outfall and associated surveys.

Requests to MARA

The submission asks MARA to:
•Refuse licence MUL260006.
•Record concerns regarding the ancient forest remains.
•Record concerns regarding wintering birds and seals.
•Record concerns about storm-season works and the absence of studies on storm forces affecting the proposed outfall.

Overall assessment

The document is a well-structured objection that combines:
•personal observations from over 30 years of walking this local beach,
•environmental and wildlife concerns,
•archaeological concerns,
•coastal engineering and storm-risk arguments,
•references to official reports, survey documents, and biodiversity records.

If you'd like, I can also provide a detailed critique, proofreading edits, or an assessment of how persuasive this submission is likely to be in a regulatory process. Please confirm receipt of this submission.

Sent on behalf of -

